

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/088,042	(07/11/2002	Murray Edward Bruce Leighton	THOM-0022	6575
	23377	23377 7590 10/31/2005			EXAMINER	
			SHBURN LLP CE, 46TH FLOOR		HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
	1650 MARK		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				3721	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	111	
ı		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	10/088,042	LEIGHTON, MURRAY EDWARD BRUCE						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Christopher R. Harmon	3721						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	entember 2005							
	action is non-final.							
· <u> </u>		secution as to the merits is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
closed in accordance with the practice under L.	parte Quayre, 1900 C.B. 11, 40	J O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	= ' '	, <i>,</i>						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		` · ·						
Driarity under 25 H.S.C. & 440								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.						
,								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)						
Patent and Trademark Office	-,							

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/12/05 has been entered.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the means for causing a continuous web to pass along a predetermined path; means for presenting lengths of reclosable fastener; means for locating a length of reclosable fastener on a web; means for passing the combination along predetermined path; and means for displacing said sealing jaws; severing jaws preceding sealing jaws must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure

Application/Control Number: 10/088,042 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 7-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for causing a continuous web to pass along a predetermined path; presenting lengths of a reclosable fastener; locating a length of reclosable fastener on a web; passing the combination along a predetermined path; and displacing said sealing jaws, does not reasonably provide enablement for the various specific means for carrying out the process. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the combination" in lines 7 and 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 5, it is unclear what is meant by a "cross web technique".

Regarding claims 7-10 and 12, the specification does not adequately provide sufficient structure for the various means; see above paragraph 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by English (US 5,417,035).

English discloses a method and apparatus for sealing a reclosable fastener 38, 40 to a substrate comprising presenting lengths of a fastener to a substrate; locating the lengths by attaching a flange/base 74 and 76 portion to the substrate by heat sealing devices 58 and 60; (body portion is free); passing the fastener and substrate between a pair of displaceable sealing jaws 82 and 84 (longer than the body of the fastener) in order to effect a final sealing; see figures 1A and 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,042

Art Unit: 3721

Note the substrate is folded over a former to locate the fastener between two substantially parallel webs of material; see figure 4.

English discloses means for causing a continuous web to pass along a predetermined path 30; means for presenting lengths of reclosable fastener 50, 52; means for locating a length of reclosable fastener on a web 61'; means for passing the combination along predetermined path 22; and means for displacing said sealing jaws.

Regarding claims 8-9, the apparatus of English is fully capable of attaching the fastener to initially only one web one of the heating bars lacks power and/or to a single flange as the workpiece does not define the structure of the apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over English (US 5,417,035).

English does not directly disclose a pair of severing jaws preceding the sealing jaws, however the examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate sealing jaws 82, 84 below severing jaws 100, 102, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. See *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

9. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over English (US 5,417,035) in view of Kinigakis et al. (US 6,357,914).

English does not directly disclose initially attaching a single flange to the web substrate or an engaging element with an upstanding post and heel however Kinigakis et al. teach sealing a single flange 72 to web substrates 12 and 14; see figure 10. Each engaging element 26 and 28 have upstanding posts engageable with heels of opposite element; see figure 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the flange construction of Kinigakis et al. in the invention to English in order for forming a slightly modified product bag with increased integrity.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,042

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chris Harmon Patent Examiner